

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, FRESNO DIVISION

14 MARTHA ZEPEDA OLIVARES,
15 individually and on behalf of the
ESTATE OF MAXIMILIANO SOSA,
JR.; MAXIMILIANO SOSA, SR,

16 Plaintiffs,

17 v.

18 CITY OF FRESNO; UNKNOWN
19 LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS,
POLICE CHIEF PACO
20 BALDERRAMA and DOES 1-30,

21 Defendants.

Case No. 1:23-cv-01575-JLT-SAB

**[PROPOSED] ORDER RE
STIPULATION RE CONTINUING
RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT AND
MANDATORY SCHEDULING
CONFERENCE**

*Complaint Filed: 02/23/2024
Current Response Date: 03/08/2024*

22
23 Pursuant to the Court's inherent and statutory authority, including but not
24 limited to the Court's authority under the applicable Federal Rules of Civil
25 Procedure and the United States District Court, Eastern District of California Local
26 Rules; after due consideration of all of the relevant pleadings, papers, and records in
27 this action; and upon such other evidence or argument as was presented to the Court;
28 Good Cause appearing therefore, and in furtherance of the interests of justice,

1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 the responsive pleading date and the mandatory scheduling conference are
3 continued as follows:

4 EVENT	5 CURRENT DEADLINE	6 REQUESTED DEADLINE
7 Responsive Pleading Due Date	8 March 8, 2024	9 September 6, 2024
10 Mandatory Scheduling Conference	11 March 26, 2024	12 September 24, 2024

13 the responsive pleading date and the mandatory scheduling conference are
14 hereby taken off calendar until additional heirs not currently names as plaintiffs file a
15 complaint.

16
17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18
19 DATED: _____, 2024

20
21 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT,**
22 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

23
24 By: _____

25
26 Hon. Stanley Boone,
27 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE
28 JUDGE